Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation

Environmental Assessment

Operator: Continental Resources, Inc. Well Name/Number: Rognas 3-27H Location: S/2 S/2 Section 27 T25N R55E County: Richland , MT; Field (or Wildcat) W/C (Bakken Horizontal)		
Air Quality		
(possible concerns) Long drilling time: No, 30 to 40 days drilling time. Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple derrick drilling rig to drill to 19,575'MD/10,090'TVD, single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well. Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S gas production. In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area. Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211. Mitigation: _X Air quality permit (AQB review) _X Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas _ Special equipment/procedures requirements _ Other:		
Comments: No special concerns – using triple rig to drill to 19,575'MD/10,090'TVD, single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well. If there are existing pipeline for gas in the area then gas must be tied into system or if no gathering system nearby gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.		
Water Quality		
(possible concerns)		
Salt/oil based mud: Yes, oil based invert drilling fluids for intermediate casing hole. Brine water will be used to drill the horizontal lateral. Freshwater and freshwater mud system on surface hole.		
High water table: No high water table anticipated in the area of review.		
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainages are an unnamed		
ephemeral tributary drainage to Timber Creek, about 1/8 of a mile to the north and an		
unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to East Charlie Creek, about ¼ of a mile to the		
south from this location.		
Water well contamination: No, closest water wells are about 1 mile and further in any direction from this location. Depth of these water wells range from 196' to 340'. Surface		
hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater drilling fluids. Additional surface casing		
is recommended for this well, surface casing from a depth of 1356' is not enough. It is		
recommended that 1546' of surface casing be set		
Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy clay soils.		
Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.		
Mitigation:		
X Lined reserve pit		

X Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
X Closed mud system
Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
Other:
Comments:1546' of steel surface casing should be set and cemented to
surface to protect freshwater zones and cover the Fox Hills aquifer.
Canada to protect not make a contract of the c
Soils/Vegetation/Land Use
(possible concerns)
Steam crossings: No, stream crossings, crossing only ephemeral drainages.
High erosion potential: Yes, large cut, up to 32.7' and moderate fill, up to 10.1',
required.
Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If
productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite: No, large 500'X270' location size required.
Damage to improvements: No, slight.
Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight, surface use grasslands and cultivated
field.
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
_X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
Stream crossing remit (other agency review) _X_Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other
Comments: _Access will use existing county road, #330 and existing well access
road. About 832' of new road will be built into location off the existing well access road.
Operator will utilize a closed loop mud system with a lined cuttings disposal pit. Drill
cuttings will be disposed of in the lined cuttings pit. Oil based invert drilling fluids will be
recycled. Completion fluids will be trucked to a commercial Class II disposal. Cuttings
pit will be backfilled. No special concerns.
pic trin so sacramou. The operation to the sacramous
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residences are about 1 mile to the
south and 1.25 miles to the southwest from this well location.
Possibility of H2S: Slight chance of H2S.
Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig/short 30 to 40 days drilling time
Mitigation:
X_Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:

Comments:	Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment will		
mitigate any issues	<u></u>		
	Wildlife/recreation		
(possible concerns)	wildine/recreation		
	Idlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified		
	Proximity to sensitive within areas (b) William identified.		
Creation of new access			
	e/refuge management: None in the area.		
	red Species: Species identified as threatened by the USFWS		
	rior Lease Tern, Piping Plover and Whooping Crane. Species		
-	ies are the Greater Sage Grouse and Sprague's Pipit. NH		
	ownship and Range lists only zero (0) species of concern.		
Mitigation:			
	pographic tolerance/exception)		
	review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)		
	cing of pits, drillsite		
Other:	Private surface lands. There may be species of concern that		
	Private surface lands. There may be species of concern that wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner		
	done, if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The		
	no jurisdiction over private surface lands.		
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological			
(possible concerns)			
Proximity to known sites	: None identified.		
Mitigation	nagraphia talaranas Jasatian avaantian)		
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)			
Other:	Teview (SHFO, DSL, lederal agencies)		
	private surface lands. There may be possible		
historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the			
	the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or		
	ring construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no		
jurisdiction over private:			
, , , , ,	Social/Economic		
(possible concerns)			
Substantial ef			
Create demand for new governmental services			
Population increase or relocation Comments: _Wildcat wellNo concerns			
Commentsv	ilucat wellINO COHCETTIS		
	Remarks or Special Concerns for this site		

Well is a 19,575'MD/10,090'TVD, single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well.___

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No long term impacts expected. Some short term surface impacts will occur.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC): <u>/s/Steven Sasaki</u>
Other Persons Contacted:
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website
(Name and Agency) Richland County water wells
(subject discussed) March 14, 2012 (date)
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed)
March 14, 2012 (date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP) (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T25N R55E (subject discussed)
_March 14, 2012(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection:
Others present during inspection.